

The generation of an entangled four-photon state from two pairs of entangled two-photon states by using linear optical elements

XuBo Zou, K. Pahlke and W. Mathis

Institute TET, University of Hannover,
Appelstr. 9A, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Abstract

We present a scheme to produce an entangled four-photon state from two pairs of entangled two-photon states. Such entangled four-photon states are equivalent to the quantum state of two maximally entangled spin-1 particles. The scheme can also be generated to prepare an entangled $2N$ -photon state from N pairs of entangled two-photon states. Such multi-photon states play a crucial role in fundamental tests of quantum mechanics versus local realism and in many quantum information and quantum computation schemes.

PACS number:03.65.Ud,03.67.-a

The generation of entangled states plays a central role in quantum optics. An experimental realization in this context can be achieved with trapped ions [1], cavity QED [2] and Bose-Einstein condensates [3]. Many schemes were proposed for the purpose of generating entangled quantum states atoms [4]. In fact, the GHZ-state was demonstrated with the trapped ion stored in an atom cavity system [5, 2]. A broad field of research is opened by experiments performed with entangled photons. Photon entanglement can be used to test Bell's inequality [6] or to implement quantum information protocols for quantum teleportation [7], quantum dense coding [8] and quantum cryptography [9]. Recently, an experimental realization of GHZ-states by means of three or four photons was reported [10]. The efficient quantum computation with linear optics has been put forward [11]. This kind of scheme can be used directly to generate photon polarization entanglement. A sequence of beam splitters is arranged carefully in order to implement a basic non-deterministic gate. Another feasible linear optical scheme [12] was proposed to produce photon polarization entanglement with the help of single-photon quantum non-demolition measurements on an atom-cavity system [13]. In a recent paper [14] we proposed a scheme to generate entangled N -photon states of the form $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0, N\rangle + |N, 0\rangle)$ via linear optical elements. Recently, the incentive to produce entangled states of spin- s objects ($S > 1/2$) has been increased significantly by the advance of the fields of quantum communication and quantum information processing [15, 16, 17]. Stimulated by experiment[10], in this paper, we present a scheme to generate an entangled four-photon state from two pairs of entangled two-photon states

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|2H\rangle_1|2V\rangle_2 + |H\rangle_1|V\rangle_1|H\rangle_2|V\rangle_2 + |2V\rangle_1|2H\rangle_2) \quad (1)$$

by using linear optical elements. This entangled four-photon state is equivalent to two maximally entangled spin-1 particles. Drummond [18] demonstrated the violation of the Bell inequality by this kind of multi-photon states. The experimental setup is shown schematically in Fig.1. We assume that two pairs of maximally entangled two-photon states has been prepared: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_1|V\rangle_2 + |V\rangle_1|H\rangle_2)$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_3|V\rangle_4 + |V\rangle_3|H\rangle_4)$. The initial state of the system is

$$\Psi_0 = \frac{1}{2}(|H\rangle_1|V\rangle_2 + |V\rangle_1|H\rangle_2)(|H\rangle_3|V\rangle_4 + |V\rangle_3|H\rangle_4). \quad (2)$$

Let the modes $H_1, H_3, V_1, V_3, H_2, H_4, V_2$ and V_4 pass through four beam splitters BS of the same kind. The state of the system evolves into

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1 = & \frac{1}{8}[(\cos \theta a_{H_1}^\dagger + \sin \theta a_{H_3}^\dagger)(\cos \theta a_{V_2}^\dagger + \sin \theta a_{V_4}^\dagger) \\ & + (\cos \theta a_{V_1}^\dagger + \sin \theta a_{V_3}^\dagger)(\cos \theta a_{H_2}^\dagger + \sin \theta a_{H_4}^\dagger)] \\ & \times [(\sin \theta a_{H_1}^\dagger - \cos \theta a_{H_3}^\dagger)(\sin \theta a_{V_2}^\dagger - \cos \theta a_{V_4}^\dagger) \\ & + (\sin \theta a_{V_1}^\dagger - \cos \theta a_{V_3}^\dagger)(\sin \theta a_{H_2}^\dagger - \cos \theta a_{H_4}^\dagger)]|0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

If no photons are detected in the output modes H'_3, V'_3, H'_4 and V'_4 , this quantum state is projected into

$$\Psi_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(a_{H_1}^\dagger a_{V_2}^\dagger + a_{V_1}^\dagger a_{H_2}^\dagger)^2|0\rangle. \quad (4)$$

The related probability of success $3 \sin^4 \theta \cos^4 \theta$ will approach its maximum value $3/16$, if symmetric beam splitters are used. The quantum state Ψ_2 can also be written in the form

$$\Psi_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|2H'_1\rangle|2V'_2\rangle + |H'_1\rangle|V'_1\rangle|H'_2\rangle|V'_2\rangle + |2V'_1\rangle|2H'_2\rangle), \quad (5)$$

which is equivalent to the quantum state (1). Now we will demonstrate that the quantum state (1) can be used to generate a telecloning state and to implement quantum telecloning protocol. In [19] a telecloning protocol was proposed in order to copy a quantum state from one input to M outputs. In the following we will show, how $1 \rightarrow 2$ optimal quantum cloning can be employed by the use of quantum state (1). The experimental setup is shown schematically in Fig.2. Let mode H_1 and V_1, H_2 and V_2 pass through two symmetric beam splitters BS . The state of the system evolves into

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_4 = & \frac{1}{8\sqrt{3}}[(a_{H_1}^\dagger + a_{H_2}^\dagger)^2(a_{V_3}^\dagger + a_{V_4}^\dagger)^2 + (a_{V_1}^\dagger + a_{V_2}^\dagger)^2(a_{H_3}^\dagger + a_{H_4}^\dagger)^2 \\ & + 2(a_{H_1}^\dagger + a_{H_2}^\dagger)(a_{V_1}^\dagger + a_{V_2}^\dagger)(a_{H_3}^\dagger + a_{H_4}^\dagger)(a_{V_3}^\dagger + a_{V_4}^\dagger)]|0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

If we consider only those terms, which correspond to a 4-photon coincidence (one photon in each of the beams), the state of the system is projected into

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_5 = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}[|H'_1\rangle|H'_2\rangle|V'_3\rangle|V'_4\rangle + |V'_1\rangle|V'_2\rangle|H'_3\rangle|H'_4\rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(|H'_1\rangle|V'_2\rangle + |V'_1\rangle|H'_2\rangle)(|H'_3\rangle|V'_4\rangle + |V'_3\rangle|H'_4\rangle)]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This is exactly a telecloning quantum state, which can be used to perform $1 \rightarrow 2$ optimal quantum cloning [19].

If N pairs of polarization entangled photon states of the form $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H_i\rangle|V_{i+1}\rangle + |V_i\rangle|H_{i+1}\rangle)$ ($i = 1, 3, \dots, 2N - 1$) are prepared, we let the modes H_i, V_i, H_{i+1} and V_{i+1} ($i = 1, 3, \dots, 2N - 1$) pass through four symmetric N -port beam splitters [20]. At the output mode of each N -port symmetric beam splitter $N - 1$ detectors are used to detect photons from the second output mode to the N th output mode. If all detectors do not detect a photon, the state of the system is projected to the entangled $2N$ -photon state

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_6 = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}(|NH\rangle_1|NV\rangle_2 + \dots + |mH\rangle_1|(N - m)V\rangle_1|(N - m)H\rangle_2|mV\rangle_2 \\ & + \dots + |NV\rangle_1|NH\rangle_2). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

This quantum state corresponds to the quantum state of two maximally entangled spin- $\frac{N}{2}$ particles. In the theoretical work by Drummond [18] such multi-photon states were used to violate the Bell inequality.

In conclusion, a scheme is presented to produce an entangled four-photon state from two pairs of entangled two-photon states. Such entangled four-photon states are equivalent to the state of two maximally entangled spin-1 particles. We further show that such entangled four-photon states can also be used to generate a telecloning state. This state has been used to implement $1 \rightarrow 2$ optimal quantum cloning. This scheme can be generated in order to prepare an entangled $2N$ -photon state from N pairs of entangled two-photon states. Such multi-photon states may play a crucial role in fundamental tests of quantum mechanics versus local realism and in many quantum information and quantum computation schemes.

References

- [1] Q. A. Turchette et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1525 (1998).
- [2] A. Rauschenbeutel et al, Science. **288**, 2024 (2000).
- [3] A. Sørensen, L. M. Duan, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Nature(London) **409** 63 (2001); M. G. Moore and P. Meystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5026 (2000).
- [4] J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev.50, R2799 (1994); T. Pellizzari, S. Gardiner, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett.75, 3788 (1995); J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett.74, 4091 (1995); M. B. Plenio, S. Fi Huegla, A. Beige and P. L. Knight, Phys. Rev. A59, 2468(1999). J.I. Bollinger, W.M. Itano, D.J. Wineland, and D.J. Heinzen, Phys. Rev. A **54**, R4649 (1996); J. Steinbach and C. C. Gerry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5528 (1998) ;K. Mølmer and A. Sørensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1835 (1999) ;G. J. Milburn, quant-ph/9980037
- [5] C.A. Sackett, D. Kielpinski, B.E. King, G. Langer, V. Meyer, C.J. Myatt, M. Rowe, Q.A. Turchette, W.M. Itano, D.J. Wineland, and C. Monroe, Nature (London) **404**, 256 (2000).
- [6] D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert and A. Zeilinger, The physics of Quantum Information(Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000)
- [7] D. Bouwmeester et al , Nature(London) **390** 575 (1997)
- [8] K. Mattle et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4656 (1996).

- [9] D. S. Naik et al Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4733 (2000); W. Tittel et al Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4737 (2000).
- [10] D. Bouwmeester et al , Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1345 (1999); J. W. Pan et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4435 (2001).
- [11] E. Knill, R. Laflamme and G. Milburn, Nature(London) **409**, 46 (2001).
- [12] Z. B. Chen, J. W. Pan and Y. D. Zhang, quant-ph/0105100
- [13] P. Grangier, J. A. Levenson and J. P. Poizat, Nature(London) **396**, 537 (1998).
- [14] XuBo Zou, K. Pahlke and W. Mathis, quant-ph/0110168, quant-ph/0110149
- [15] N. Gisin and A. Peres, Phys. Lett. A **162**, 15(1992)
- [16] D. Kaszlikowski, P. Gnascinski, M. Zukowski, W. Miklaszewski, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4418 (2000). Thomas Durt, Dagomir Kaszlikowski, Marek Zukowski, quant-ph/0101084; A. Acin, T. Durt, N. Gisin, J. I. Latorre, quant-ph/0111143.
- [17] David P. DiVincenzo, Tal Mor, Peter W. Shor, John A. Smolin, Barbara M. Terhal, quant-ph/9908070 ;Stephen D. Bartlett, Hubert de Guise, Barry C. Sanders, Benjamin T. H. Varcoe, quant-ph/0011080 .
- [18] P. D. Drummond, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 407 (1983).
- [19] M. Muraao, D. Jonathan, M.B. Plenio, and V. Vedral, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 156 (1999).
- [20] M. Zukowski, A. Zeilinger, and M.A. Horne, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 2564 (1997).

Figure Captions

Figure 1. The schematic of the entangled four-photon state generation from a pair of polarization entangled two-photon states is shown. BS_i denotes the symmetric beam splitters and D_i are photon number detectors.

Figure 2. The schematic of the telecloning state generation from an entangled four-photon state is shown. BS_i and D_i denote the symmetric beam splitters and photon number detectors.